

ym



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,374	01/22/2002	Allen C. Wynn	016295.0746 (DC-03254)	7599
7590 12/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Baker Botts L.L.P. One Shell Plaza 910 Louisiana Houston, TX 77002-4995			WILSON, YOLANDA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/055,374

Applicant(s)

WYNN ET AL.

Examiner

Yolanda Wilson

Art Unit

2113

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

SECOND DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1,2,6,7,16,18,19,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mounes-Toussi et al. (USPN 6615375B1). As appears in claims 1 and 16, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses detecting a memory error in a section of computer memory; and in response to detecting the memory error instructing an operating system to discontinue use of the section of computer memory with the memory error in column 6, line 59 – column 7, line 15.

3. As per claim 2, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses detecting multiple memory modules in a system; and in response to detecting the multiple memory modules creating a greater number of memory objects to represent respective sections of the multiple memory modules in column 3, lines 23-37; column 4, lines 28-62; column 7, lines 4-5. As disclosed by searchWin2000.com, a DIMM can contain 'one or several random access memory (RAM) chips'.

4. As per claims 6 and 21, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses identifying a good subsection and a bad subsection of the section of computer memory with the memory

Art Unit: 2113

errors; creating a new memory object to represent the good subsection; and instructing the operating system that the new memory object is available for use in column 6, line 59 – column 7, line 15; column 5, lines 44-67.

5. As per claim 18, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses instructions that create multiple memory objects to represent respective sections of computer memory; the instructions to discontinue use of the section of computer memory with the memory comprise an eject event; and the eject event identifies the memory object that represents the section of computer memory with the memory error in column 2, lines 6-25 and column 3, lines 40-63.

6. As per claim 19, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses an eject method that disables the section of computer memory with the memory error in response to the eject event in column 6, line 59 – column 7, line 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 3,4,8,9,10,11,13,17,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mounes-Toussi et al. in view of Treu (USPN 5245615A). As per claim 3, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses creating multiple memory objects to represent respective sections of computer memory in column 3, lines 23-37; column 4, lines 28-

62; column 7, lines 4-5. As disclosed by searchWin2000.com, a DIMM can contain 'one or several random access memory (RAM) chips'.

Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state the operation of instructing the operating system to discontinue use of the section of computer memory with the memory error comprises sending an eject event from a basic input and output system (BIOS) to the operating system wherein the eject event identifies the memory object that represents the section of computer memory with the memory error.

Treu discloses this limitation in column 2, lines 3-17.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to send an eject event from a basic input and output system (BIOS) to the operating system wherein the eject event identifies the memory object that represents the section of computer memory with the memory error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to send an eject event from a basic input and output system (BIOS) to the operating system wherein the eject event identifies the memory object that represents the section of computer memory with the memory error because the BIOS allows the operating system to know where the memory errors are located in memory in order to perform the proper diagnostics.

9. As per claim 4, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses in response to receiving the eject event invoking an eject method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error in column 2, lines 6-25.

Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state receiving the eject event from the BIOS.

Treu discloses this limitation in column 2, lines 3-17.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to receive the eject event from the BIOS. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to receive the eject event from the BIOS because the BIOS first receives error information and allows the operating system to perform the proper diagnostics after receiving the error information. Treu discloses this in column 7, lines 38-65.

10. As per claim 8, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses computer memory; a processor in communication with the computer memory; an operating system residing in the computer memory and executable by the processor; a basic input-output (BIOS) residing in the computer memory executable by the processor in column 4, lines 28-56. It is inherent for a BIOS to reside in computer memory and to have an operating system.

Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state recovery logic in the BIOS that performs operations comprising detecting a memory error in a section of the computer memory; and in response to detecting the memory error instructing the operating system to discontinue use of computer memory with the memory error.

Treu discloses this limitation in column 2, lines 3-17 and column 7, lines 38-65

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a basic input and output system (BIOS) that includes the instructions which detect the error and instruct the operating system to discontinue use of the section of memory with the error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have a basic input and output system (BIOS) that

includes the instructions which detect the error and instruct the operating system to discontinue use of the section of memory with the error because the BIOS first receives error information and allows the operating system to perform the proper diagnostics after receiving the error information.

11. As per claim 9, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses the computer memory comprises multiple random access memory (RAM) modules; the information handling system further comprises multiple memory objects that represent respective sections of the multiple RAM modules; and the multiple memory objects are more numbers than the multiple RAM modules in column 3, lines 23-37; column 4, lines 28-62; column 7, lines 4-5. As disclosed by searchWin2000.com, a DIMM can contain 'one or several random access memory (RAM) chips'.

12. As per claim 10, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses the information handling system further comprises multiple memory objects that represent respective sections of the computer memory; the recovery logic instructs the operating system to discontinue use of the section of computer memory with the memory error by sending an eject event to the operating system; and the eject event identifies the memory object that represents the section of computer memory with the memory error in column 3, lines 23-37; column 4, lines 28-62; column 7, lines 4-5. As disclosed by searchWin2000.com, a DIMM can contain 'one or several random access memory (RAM) chips'.

13. As per claim 11, Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state the operating system receives the eject event from the BIOS; and in response to receiving the eject event the

operating system invokes an eject method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error.

Treu discloses this limitation in column 2, lines 3-17 and column 7, lines 38-65

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to receive the eject event from the BIOS and in response to receiving the eject event the operating system invokes an eject method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to receive the eject event from the BIOS and in response to receiving the eject event the operating system invokes an eject method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error because the BIOS first receives error information and allows the operating system to perform the proper diagnostics after receiving the error information.

14. As per claim 13, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses identifying a good subsection and a bad subsection of the section of computer memory with the memory errors; creating a new memory object to represent the good subsection; and instructing the operating system that the new memory object is available for use in column 6, line 59 – column 7, line 15; column 5, lines 44-67.

15. As per claim 17, Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state a basic imputer and output system (BIOS) that includes the instructions which detect the error and instruct the operating system to discontinue use of the section of memory with the error.

Treu discloses this limitation in column 2, lines 3-17 and column 7, lines 38-65

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a basic input and output system (BIOS) that includes the instructions which detect the error and instruct the operating system to discontinue use of the section of memory with the error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have a basic input and output system (BIOS) that includes the instructions which detect the error and instruct the operating system to discontinue use of the section of memory with the error because the BIOS first receives error information and allows the operating system to perform the proper diagnostics after receiving the error information.

16. As per claim 22, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses computer memory; at least a first and second processor in communication with the computer memory; a first node comprising the first processor and a first portion of the computer memory; a second node comprising the second processor and a second portion of the computer memory; an operating system residing in the computer memory and executable by the processor; a basic input-output (BIOS) residing in the computer memory executable by the processor in column 4, lines 28-56. It is inherent for a BIOS to reside in computer memory and to have an operating system.

Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state recovery logic in the BIOS that performs operations comprising detecting a memory error in a section of the computer memory; and in response to detecting the memory error instructing the operating system to discontinue use of computer memory with the memory error; and wherein after the recovery logic in the BIOS has instructed the operating system to discontinue

Art Unit: 2113

use of the section of computer memory with the memory error, the first and second nodes both stop using the section of the computer with the memory error.

Treu discloses this limitation in column 2, lines 3-17 and column 7, lines 38-65

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a basic input and output system (BIOS) that includes the instructions which detect the error and instruct the operating system to discontinue use of the section of memory with the error and wherein after the recovery logic in the BIOS has instructed the operating system to discontinue use of the section of computer memory with the memory error, the first and second nodes both stop using the section of the computer with the memory error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have a basic input and output system (BIOS) that includes the instructions which detect the error and instruct the operating system to discontinue use of the section of memory with the error and wherein after the recovery logic in the BIOS has instructed the operating system to discontinue use of the section of computer memory with the memory error, the first and second nodes both stop using the section of the computer with the memory error because the BIOS first receives error information and allows the operating system to perform the proper diagnostics after receiving the error information.

17. Claims 5,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mounes-Toussi et al. in view of ACPI Specification 2.0. As per claims 5, Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state using an advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI) eject control method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error.

ACPI Specification 2.0 discloses this limitation on page 162, section 6.3.3.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI) eject control method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use an advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI) eject control method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error because the ACPI eject command can disable power/data lines of a memory device after the command is invoked. ACPI specification discloses this in section 6.3.3 and on page 252, section 10.12.

18. As per claim 20, Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state the eject method comprises an advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI) eject control method.

ACPI Specification 2.0 discloses this limitation on page 162, section 6.3.3.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI) eject control method. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have an advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI) eject control method because the ACPI eject command can disable power/data lines of a memory device after the command is invoked. ACPI specification discloses this in section 6.3.3 and on page 252, section 10.12.

19. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mounes-Toussi et al. in view of Treu in further view of ACPI Specification 2.0. As per claims 12, Mounes-Toussi et al. and Treu fail to explicitly state using an advanced configuration

Art Unit: 2113

and power interface (ACPI) eject control method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error.

ACPI Specification 2.0 discloses this limitation on page 162, section 6.3.3.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI) eject control method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use an advanced configuration and power interface (ACPI) eject control method to disable the section of computer memory with the memory error because the ACPI eject command can disable power/data lines of a memory device after the command is invoked. ACPI specification discloses this in section 6.3.3 and on page 252, section 10.12.

20. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mounes-Toussi et al. in view of Jeddelloh (USPN 5974564A). As per claim 7, Mounes-Toussi et al. fails to explicitly state wherein the operation of detecting a memory error comprises detecting that an error threshold has been exceeded.

Jeddelloh discloses this limitation in column 6, lines 33-48.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the operation of detecting a memory error comprises detecting that an error threshold has been exceeded. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have the operation of detecting a memory error comprises detecting that an error threshold has been exceeded because

Art Unit: 2113

exceeding an error threshold notifies that a serious error is occurring and a component is failing. Jeddeloh discloses this in column 6, lines 33-36.

21. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mounes-Toussi et al. in view of Treu (USPN 5245615A) in further view of Jeddeloh. As per claim 14, Mounes-Toussi et al. discloses a memory controller in communication with the processor and the computer memory in column 4, lines 28-56.

Mounes-Toussi et al. and Treu fail to explicitly state a memory address space that the memory controller maps to the computer memory; and wherein the information handling system maps the new memory object available for use by causing the memory controller to add a new range of memory addresses to the memory address space.

Jeddeloh discloses this limitation in column 2, lines 6-25.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a memory address space that the memory controller maps to the computer memory; and wherein the information handling system maps the new memory object available for use by causing the memory controller to add a new range of memory addresses to the memory address space. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have a memory address space that the memory controller maps to the computer memory; and wherein the information handling system maps the new memory object available for use by causing the memory controller to add a new range of memory addresses to the memory address space because adding memory addresses to the memory address space allows for good


Art Unit: 2113

memory addresses that have been added to be used instead of bad memory addresses. Jeddeloh discloses this in column 2, lines 20-26.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100